

# Department of Planning, Building and Code Enforcement

STEPHEN M. HAASE, AICP, DIRECTO

#### INITIAL STUDY

**PROJECT FILE NO.:** GP03-03-07/GPT03-03-07

### PROJECT DESCRIPTION:

The items being considered are located on a portion of the Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way between Lower Silver Creek and Interstate 280 on a 21.8-acre site. (Valley Transportation Authority (VTA) and Union Pacific Railroad, Owners/City of San Jose, Applicant) CEQA: Mitigated Negative Declaration pending. Council District: 3

<u>GP03-03-07</u>; General Plan Amendment request to modify the San Jose 2020 General Plan Land Use/Transportation Diagram designation from Medium Density Residential (8-16 Dwelling Units per Acre (DU/AC) on 7.7 acres and Light Industrial on 2.57 acres to Public Park/Open Space on 10.27 acres on a segment of Union Pacific Railroad Valley Transportation Authority railroad right-of-way located between Interstate 280 and Shortridge Avenue and apply the Transit Mall designation on 10.0 acres along Valley Transportation Authority right-of-way on both sides of 28<sup>th</sup> Street between Shortridge Avenue and Julian Street.

<u>GPT03-03-07</u> General Plan Text amendment to delete a 0.43-mile segment of the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Scenic Trail located between Shortridge Avenue and Julian Street from the Scenic Routes and Trails Diagram.

California State Law requires the City of San José to conduct environmental review for all pending projects that require a public hearing. Environmental review examines the nature and extent of any potentially significant adverse effects on the environment that could occur if a project is approved and implemented. The Director of Planning, Building & Code Enforcement would require the preparation of an Environmental Impact Report if the review concluded that the proposed project could have a significant unavoidable effect on the environment. The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires this notice to disclose whether any listed toxic sites are present. The project location **does not** contain a listed toxic site.

The project is intended to facilitate future rail-to-trail conversions and support future Alum Rock Station Node and future BART Station transit. The Transit Mall designation is applied along streets improved For high pedestrian use near key transit stops. The Public Park/Open Space Designation is applied to Lands devoted to open space uses.

**GENERAL PLAN DESIGNATION:** Medium Density Residential (8-16 DU/AC); Light Industrial and General Commercial. Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Scenic Trail.

**ZONING:** LI Light Industrial District; R-1-8 Residence District A - Agriculture; RM-H Residence and CG Commercial General.

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Nightleant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources
--------	--------------------------------------	-----------------	------------------------------------	--------------	------------------------

**SURROUNDING LAND USES: North**: Julian Street and industrial. **East:** Single-family detached, two-family and multifamily residential, miscellaneous industrial commercial, vacant future McKinley Park and McKinley Elementary School. **West:** Single-family detached residential, miscellaneous light industrial, commercial. **South**: Interstate 280 and Coyote Creek Park Chain.

**PROJECT APPLICANT'S NAME AND ADDRESS:** City of San Jose, c/o Bill Scott, 801 North First street, San Jose, CA 95115.

#### **DETERMINATION**

## On the basis of this initial study:

	V							
$\boxtimes$	I find the proposed project could not have a significant effect of DECLARATION will be prepared.	n the envi	ronment, and a	NEGATIV	Е			
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, there will not be a significant effect in this case because the project proponent has agreed to revise the project to avoid any significant effect. A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION will be prepared.							
	I find the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, and an ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT(EIR) is required.							
	I find the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, but at least one effect has been (1) adequately analyzed in a previous document pursuant to applicable legal standards, and (2) addressed by mitigation measures based on the previous analysis as described in the attached initial study. An EIR is required that analyzes only the effects that were not adequately addressed in a previous document.							
	I find that although the proposed project could have a significant effect on the environment, no further environmental analysis is required because all potentially significant effects have been (1) adequately analyzed in an earlier EIR or							
Date	Signatu	re						
I. AF		-	er: Bill Scott 8) 277-4576					
	a substantial adverse effect on a scenic vista?				$\boxtimes$	1,2		
trees,	antially damage scenic resources, including, but not limited to, rock out-croppings, and historic buildings within a state c highway?				$\boxtimes$	1,2		
	antially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the nd its surroundings?					1,2		
	e a new source of substantial light or glare that would sely affect day or nighttime views in the area?			$\boxtimes$		1,2		
e) Increa	ase the amount of shade in public and private open space on ent sites?				$\boxtimes$	1,2		

FINDINGS: *No significant adverse impacts*. The project site is a portion of railroad freight line right-of-way. The subject right-of-way is owned by Union Pacific Railroad south of William Street and Valley Transportation Authority

File No. GP03-03-07 INITIAL STUDY			Page No. 3			
Issues	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources	
north of William Street). Most of the right-of-way is occupied by from roughly 70-feet in width near San Antonio Avenue to 250-fe would reserve land within the right-of-way to facilitate future per the vision and strategies identified in the Five Wounds/Brookwood The project would facilitate open space and trail improvements at the visual character of the area.	eet south lestrian ar od Terraco	of William Str nd bicycle trai e (FWBT) Nei	eet. The look conversion ghborhood	propose on cons d Impro	ed project istent with ovement Pla	
MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform to San Jo "The City should apply strong architectural and site design controdevelopment of neighborhood character and for the proper transit	ols on all	types of devel	opment for	the pr		
II. AGRICULTURE RESOURCES - Would the project	:					
a) Convert Prime Farmland, Unique Farmland, or Farmland of Statewide Importance (Farmland), as shown on the maps prepared pursuant to the Farmland Mapping and Monitoring Program of the California Resources Agency, to non-agricultural use?					1,3,4	
b) Conflict with existing zoning for agricultural use, or a Williamson Act contract?					1,3,4	
c) Involve other changes in the existing environment, which due to their location or nature, could result in conversion of Farmland, to non-agricultural use?					1,3,4	
area in central San Jose. The project will not result in any impacts MITIGATION MEASURES: None required.  III.AIR QUALITY - Would the project:	s to rariii	and of agricult	turar uses.			
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan?					1,14	
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation?					1,14	
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is classified as non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions that exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?				$\boxtimes$	1,14	
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?					1,14	
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?				$\boxtimes$	1,14	
FINDINGS: <i>No adverse impacts</i> . The project would result in the pedestrian/bicycle oriented uses, therefore the project would ultimenvironment by facilitating the removal of non-compatible uses a The project will also encourage pedestrian, bicycle travel and lind the need for passenger vehicle usage in the area and associated vehicle.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None required.  BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:	nately imp and the po cages to p	prove the over stential transpo sublic transit, v	all residen ort of the have which will	tial livi azardot	is materials	
a) Have a substantial adverse effect, either directly or through habitat						

modifications, on any species identified as a candidate, sensitive, or special status species in local or regional plans, policies, or regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				$\boxtimes$	1,10	
---	--	--	--	-------------	------	--

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources
b) Have a substantial adverse effect on any aquatic, wetland, or riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community identified in local or regional plans, policies, regulations, or by the California Department of Fish and Game or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service?				$\boxtimes$	1,6,10
c) Have a substantial adverse effect on federally protected wetlands as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act including, but not limited to, marsh, vernal pool, coastal, etc., through direct removal, filling, hydrological interruption, or other means?				$\boxtimes$	1,6
d) Interfere substantially with the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species or with established native resident or migratory wildlife corridors, or impede the use of native wildlife nursery sites?				$\boxtimes$	1,10
e) Conflict with any local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources, such as a tree preservation policy or ordinance?			$\boxtimes$		1,11
f) Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan, Natural Community Conservation Plan, or other approved local, regional, or state habitat conservation plan?				$\boxtimes$	1,2
Development adjacent to creekside areas should incorporate comwhich are native to the area or are compatible with native species  IV. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project:	_	-			•
a) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource as defined in CEQA Guidelines §15064.5?					1,7
b) Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to CEQA Guidelines §15064.5?					1,8
c) Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site, or unique geologic feature?					1,8
d) Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?					1,8
FINDINGS: <i>No adverse impacts</i> . The project site is <i>not</i> located and will not have an adverse impact on known historical or cultu MITIGATION MEASURES: None required.  V. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:			archaeol	ogical s	ensitivity
a) Expose people or structures to potential substantial adverse effects, including the risk of loss, injury, or death involving:					
Rupture of a known earthquake fault, as described on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by					
the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? (Refer to Division of Mines and Geology Special Publication 42.)					1,5,24
the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault? (Refer to Division of Mines and					1,5,24

wildlands?

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant With Mitigation Incorporated	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources
					T
4) Landslides?					1,5,24
b) Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil?					1,5,24
c) Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse?					1,5,24
d) Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code (1994), creating substantial risks to life or property?					1,5,24
e) Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available for the disposal of wastewater?					1,5,24
FINDINGS: <i>No significant adverse impact.</i> As is typical f site is located within an area of geological sensitivity. No h project.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None required.  HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would to	nabitable	structures are			
a) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use, or disposal of hazardous materials?					1
b) Create a significant hazard to the public or the environment through reasonably foreseeable upset and accident conditions involving the release of hazardous materials into the environment?					1
c) Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances, or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?					1
d) Be located on a site which is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5 and, as a result, would it create a significant hazard to the public or the environment?					1,12
e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?					1,2
f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the project area?				$\boxtimes$	1
g) Impair implementation of, or physically interfere with, an adopted emergency response plan or emergency evacuation plan?					1,2
h) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury or death involving wildland fires, including where wildlands are adjacent to urbanized areas or where residences are intermixed with				$\boxtimes$	1

FINDINGS: *No significant adverse impact*. Since the subject site has historically been used for railroad freight transport purposes uses there is a potential for soil contamination on the site. There is a potential for exposure of people to toxic materials through airborne dust particles during grading of the project site at the construction stage.

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Nightleant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources
--------	--------------------------------------	-----------------	------------------------------------	--------------	------------------------

At the project development stage the subject site will be evaluated as necessary to determine if past use will require cleanup (mitigation). Property specific analysis will be conduced at the project stage and the project will be required to clean up the site prior to construction in compliance with state regulations.

MITIGATION MEASURES: Project will conform to Soils and Geologic Condition Policy #9 which specifies: "Development proposed on property formerly used for agricultural or heavy industrial uses should incorporate adequate mitigation/remediation for soils contamination as recommended through the Development Review process."

VI. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the	he proje	ct:		
a) Violate any water quality standards or waste discharge requirements?				1,15
b) Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or interfere substantially with groundwater recharge such that there would be a net deficit in aquifer volume or a lowering of the local groundwater table level (e.g., the production rate of pre-existing nearby wells would drop to a level which would not support existing land uses or planned uses for which permits have been granted)?				1
c) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including the alteration of the course of a stream or river, in a manner which would result in substantial erosion or siltation on-or off-site?				1
d) Result in increased erosion in its watershed?				1
e) Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the site or area, including through the alteration of the course of a stream or river, or substantially increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on-or off-site?				1
f) Substantially alter drainage patterns due to changes in runoff volumes and flow rates?		$\boxtimes$		
g) Result in increased impervious surfaces and associated increased runoff as specified in the NPDES permit and the City's Post Construction Urban Runoff Management Policy?			$\boxtimes$	
h) Create or contribute runoff water which would exceed the capacity of existing or planned stormwater drainage systems or provide substantial additional sources of polluted runoff?			$\boxtimes$	1,17
i) Result in an increase in pollutant discharges to receiving waters such as heavy metals, pathogens, petroleum derivatives, synthetic organics, sediment, nutrients, oxygen-demanding substances, and trash?				1,17
j) Result in an increase in any pollutant for which the water body is already impaired as listed on the Clean Water Act Section 303 (d) list available from the State Water Control Board?				
k) Result in alteration of receiving water quality during or following construction including clarity, temperature, and level of pollutants?			$\boxtimes$	
1) Substantially alter surface water quality, or marine, fresh, or wetland waters as specified in the NPDES permit?			$\boxtimes$	
m) Substantially alter ground water quality as specified in the NPDES permit?			$\boxtimes$	
n) Cause or contribute to an exceedance of applicable surface or groundwater receiving water quality objectives or degradation of beneficial uses as specified in the NPDES Permit, General Plan, and City policy?			$\boxtimes$	
o) Otherwise substantially degrade water quality?				1

THE NO. OF 03-03-07 INTITAL STOD I			rage No. /				
Issues	Potentially Significant Impact		Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources		
p) Place housing within a 100-year flood hazard area as mapped on a Federal Flood Hazard Boundary or Flood Insurance Rate Map or other flood hazard delineation map?					1,9		
q) Place within a 100-year flood hazard area structures that would impede or redirect flood flows?				$\boxtimes$	1,9		
r) Expose people or structures to a significant risk of loss, injury, or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				$\boxtimes$	1		
s) Be subject to inundation by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow?				$\boxtimes$	1		
with park/trail uses. New development would be constructed to a Runoff water quality standards.  MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform to the Cit Elimination System (NPDES) permit to reduce impacts on storm activities.  VII. LAND USE AND PLANNING - Would the project with the project with the project will conform to the Cit Elimination System (NPDES) permit to reduce impacts on storm activities.	y of San . water qu	Jose's Nationa	l Pollutant	Discha	arge		
a) Physically divide an established community?					1,2		
b) Conflict with any applicable land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project (including, but not limited to the general plan, specific plan, local coastal program, or zoning ordinance) adopted for the purpose of avoiding or mitigating an environmental effect?					1,2		
c) Conflict with any applicable habitat conservation plan or natural community conservation plan?				$\boxtimes$	1,2		
FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The subject site is neighborhoods that make up the Five Wounds/Brookwood? Removal and conversion of this railroad freight hauling use support the overall neighborhood revitalization efforts as est Terrace Neighborhood Improvement Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: none required.  MINERAL RESOURCES - Would the project:	Terrace r into ped	neighborhood lestrian/bicyc	revitaliza le oriente	ation st d trail	rategy are uses would		
a) Result in the loss of availability of a known mineral resource that would be of value to the region and the residents of the state?					1,2,23		
b) Result in the loss of availability of a locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan or other land use plan?				$\boxtimes$	1,2,23		
FINDINGS: Redevelopment of the project site will not result in MITIGATION MEASURES: None required.  VIII. NOISE - Would the project result in:	adverse i	mpacts to mine	eral resour	ces.			
a) Exposure of persons to, or generation of, noise levels in excess of standards established in the local general plan or noise ordinance, or applicable standards of other agencies?					1,2,13,18		
b)Exposure of persons to, or generation of, excessive groundborne vibration or groundborne noise levels?					1		

C)A substantial permanent increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?   A)A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?   A)A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?   A)A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project created within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?   A) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project within the vicinity of a private airstrip. Would the project will be short-term increases in noise MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the City's General Plan noise guidelines.  **POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:**  a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infinatructure?)  b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of predestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  **IX.PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:**  a) Result in substantial adverse physically altered governmental facilities, the econstruction of which could cause significant environmental impac	File No. GP03-03-07 INITIAL STUDY			Г	age No.	0
project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impact. The proposed project would facilitate the removal of noise associated with railroad and industrial uses. During construction, there will be short-term increases in noise MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the City's General Plan noise guidelines.  POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:  a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?  b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  C) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental	Issues	Significant	Significant With Mitigation	Significant		
project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  d) A substantial temporary or periodic increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impact. The proposed project would facilitate the removal of noise associated with railroad and industrial uses. During construction, there will be short-term increases in noise MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the City's General Plan noise guidelines.  POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:  a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?  b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  C) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental						
in the project vicinity above levels existing without the project?  e) For a project located within an airport land use plan or, where such a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impact. The proposed project would facilitate the removal of noise associated with railroad and industrial uses. During construction, there will be short-term increases in noise MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the City's General Plan noise guidelines.  POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:  a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?  b) Displace substantial numbers of existing bousing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  K. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the neof for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the neof for new or physicall						1
a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  f) For a project within the vicinity of a private airstrip, would the project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impact. The proposed project would facilitate the removal of noise associated with railroad and industrial uses. During construction, there will be short-term increases in noise MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the City's General Plan noise guidelines.  POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:  a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?  b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of the more proformance objectives for any of the public services:  Fire Protection?  Police Protection?  D) L2  Schools?  D) Parks?						1
project expose people residing or working in the project area to excessive noise levels?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impact. The proposed project would facilitate the removal of noise associated with railroad and industrial uses. During construction, there will be short-term increases in noise MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the City's General Plan noise guidelines.  POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:  a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:  Fire Protection?    Police Protection?	a plan has not been adopted, within two miles of a public airport or public use airport, would the project expose people residing or				$\boxtimes$	1
associated with railroad and industrial uses. During construction, there will be short-term increases in noise MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the City's General Plan noise guidelines.  POPULATION AND HOUSING - Would the project:  a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)? b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere? FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project: a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:  Fire Protection?  Police Protection?  Displace and Housing elsewhere?  1,2  Schools?  Parks?	project expose people residing or working in the project area to				$\boxtimes$	1
example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for example, through extension of roads or other infrastructure)?  b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:  Fire Protection?  Police Protection?  Police Protection?  D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D	MITIGATION MEASURES: The project will conform the					
b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:  Fire Protection?  Police Protection?  Police Protection?  Parks?	a) Induce substantial population growth in an area, either directly (for example, by proposing new homes and businesses) or indirectly (for				$\boxtimes$	1,2
c) Displace substantial numbers of people, necessitating the construction of replacement housing elsewhere?  FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts. The proposed project would facilitate the construction of pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:  Fire Protection?  Police Protection?  Parks?	b) Displace substantial numbers of existing housing, necessitating the				$\boxtimes$	1
pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Management Strategy of the San Jose 2020 General Plan and the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:  a) Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:  Fire Protection?  Police Protection?  Parks?  D 1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2  1,2					$\boxtimes$	1
provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response times or other performance objectives for any of the public services:    Fire Protection?	pedestrian/bicycle trail use consistent with the Growth Managem the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace Plan.  MITIGATION MEASURES: None Required.  IX.PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:					l Plan and
Police Protection?         □         □         □         1,2           Schools?         □         □         □         □         1,2           Parks?         □         □         □         □         □         1,2	provision of new or physically altered governmental facilities, the need for new or physically altered governmental facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental impacts, in order to maintain acceptable service ratios, response					
Schools?	Fire Protection?				$\boxtimes$	1,2
Parks?	Police Protection?				$\boxtimes$	1,2
Parks?	Schools?				$\boxtimes$	1,2

FINDINGS: No significant impacts. Based on General Plan methodology the proposed amendment would result in a net loss of approximately \_\_ jobs. As previously discussed, the proposed amendment would allow the replacement of abandoned railroad right-of-way with park trail improvements. The amendment is anticipated to encourage upgrade and revitalization this existing railroad facility as specified by the Five Wounds/Brookwood Terrace plan. A TRANPLAN model run for this and other General Plan amendments indicates that long-term traffic capacity is available to serve the amendment.

MITIGATION MEASURES: Adequate municipal services are available to serve the project.

		-	uge 110.	
Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources
X. RECREATION				
a) Would the project increase the use of existing neighborhood and regional parks or other recreational facilities such that substantial physical deterioration of the facility would occur or be accelerated?			$\boxtimes$	1,2
b) Does the project include recreational facilities or require the construction or expansion of recreational facilities that might have an adverse physical effect on the environment?				1,2
FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts.				
MITIGATION MEASURES: None required.				
TRANSPORTATION / TRAFFIC - Would the project:				
a) Cause an increase in traffic which is substantial in relation to the existing traffic load and capacity of the street system (i.e., result in a substantial increase in either the number of vehicle trips, the volume to capacity ratio of roads, or congestion at intersections)?				1,2,19
b) Exceed, either individually or cumulatively, a level of service standard established by the county congestion management agency for designated roads or highways?				1,2,19
c) Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location that results in substantial safety risks?			$\boxtimes$	1,19
d) Substantially increase hazards due to a design feature (e.g., sharp curves or dangerous intersections) or incompatible land uses (e.g., farm equipment)?				1,19
e) Result in inadequate emergency access?				1,20
f) Result in inadequate parking capacity?			$\boxtimes$	1,18
g) Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				1,2,18
FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts  MITIGATION MEASURES: None required.  UTILITIES AND SERVICE SYSTEMS - Would the pre-	oiect:			
a) Exceed wastewater treatment requirements of the applicable				1,15
Regional Water Quality Control Board? b) Require or result in the construction of new water or wastewater				
treatment facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				1,2,21
c) Require or result in the construction of new stormwater drainage facilities or expansion of existing facilities, the construction of which could cause significant environmental effects?				1,17
d) Have sufficient water supplies available to serve the project from existing entitlements and resources, or are new or expanded entitlements needed?				1,22
e) Result in a determination by the wastewater treatment provider which serves or may serve the project that it has adequate capacity to serve the project's projected demand in addition to the provider's existing commitments?				1,21
f) Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				1,21

File No. GP03-03-07 INITIAL STUDY			Pa	ge No. 1	10
Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Nighticant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources
g) Comply with federal, state, and local statutes and regulations related to solid waste?					1,21
FINDINGS: No significant adverse impacts.					
MITIGATION MEASURES: Adequate municipal services are av	vailable to	serve the proj	ject.		
XI. MANDATORY FINDINGS OF SIGNIFICANCE					
a) Does the project have the potential to (1) degrade the quality of the environment, (2) substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, (3) cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self-sustaining levels, (4) threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, (5) reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal, or (6) eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory?					1,10

(1) FINDINGS: The project will not have a significant adverse effect on the environment because the project will conform the mitigation and General Plan policies as described above

 $\boxtimes$ 

 $\boxtimes$ 

1,16

1

### CHECKLIST REFERENCES

effects of other current projects.

indirectly?

1. Environmental Clearance Application – File No. GP03-03-008

b) Does the project have impacts that are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable? "Cumulatively considerable" means

that the incremental effects of a project are considerable when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects and the

c) Does the project have environmental effects, which will cause

substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or

- San Jose 2020 General Plan
- 3. USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Soil Survey of SC County, August 1968
- USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Important Farmlands of SC County map, June 1979
- State of California's Geo-Hazard maps / Alquist Priolo Fault maps
- Riparian Corridor Policy Study 1994
- 7. San Jose Historic Resources Inventory
- 8. City of San Jose Archeological Sensitivity Maps
- 9. FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Map, Santa Clara County, 1986
- California Department of Fish & Game, California Natural Diversity Database, 2001
- 11. City of San Jose Heritage Tree Survey Report
- 12. California Environmental Protection Agency Hazardous Waste and Substances Sites List, 1998
- 13. City of San Jose Noise Exposure Map for the 2020 General Plan
- 14. BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, Bay Area Air Quality Management District. April 1996, revised 1999.
- 15. San Francisco Bay Regional Water Quality Control Board 1995 Basin Plan
- 16. Final Environmental Impact Report, City of San Jose, SJ 2020 General Plan

Issues	Potentially Significant Impact	Significant With	Less Than Significant Impact	No Impact	Information Sources
--------	--------------------------------------	------------------	------------------------------------	--------------	------------------------

- 17. Santa Clara Valley Water District
- 18. City of San Jose Title 20 Zoning Ordinance
- 19. San Jose Department of Public Works
- 20. San Jose Fire Department
- 21. San Jose Environmental Services Department
- 22. San Jose Water Company, Great Oaks Water Company
- 23. California Division of Mines and Geology
- 24. Cooper Clark, San Jose Geotechnical Information Maps, July 1974